

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7 ANNA M SCHASKER,  
8 Plaintiff,  
9 v.  
10 GAVIN NEWSOM, et al.,  
11 Defendants.

Case No. 23-cv-02893-SVK

12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17 **ORDER WITHDRAWING DKT. 16  
AND ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY  
CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED  
WITH PREJUDICE**

18 Re: Dkt. No. 16

19 On September 8, 2023, the Court issued an Order extending the deadline for Plaintiff to  
20 file an amended complaint, out of concern that Plaintiff had not had enough time to file an  
21 amended complaint as a result of her lack of ECF access. *See* Dkt. 16. However, the Court has  
22 now learned that Plaintiff has had ECF access since at least August 25, 2023 (*i.e.*, seven days  
23 before her prior deadline to file an amended complaint). Accordingly, the Court's September 8,  
24 2023 Order (Dkt. 16) is **WITHDRAWN**.

25 As of the issuance of this Order, Plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint. The Court  
26 therefore **ORDERS** Plaintiff to appear on **October 10, 2023 at 10:00 a.m.** and show cause why  
this action should not be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute. If Plaintiff wishes to  
attempt to proceed with this action, she must do either of the following by **October 3, 2023**:

27 1. File an amended complaint; or  
28 2. Respond to this Order.

29 **Failure to complete either of these steps by October 3, 2023 will result in the Court  
30 ordering that this action be reassigned to a district judge with a recommendation that it be  
31 dismissed with prejudice.**

32     ///

1 Information about the Federal Pro Se Program, which provides free information and  
2 limited-scope legal advice to *pro se* litigants in federal civil cases, can be found in the Court's  
3 June 26, 2023 Order. *See* Dkt. 6.

**SO ORDERED.**

5 || Dated: September 11, 2023

Susan van Keulen  
SUSAN VAN KEULEN  
United States Magistrate Judge

United States District Court  
Northern District of California